------ Forwarded message ------From: **Bravo Fleet Magistrate** <<u>magistrate@bravofleet.com</u>> Date: Sat, Jun 6, 2020 at 9:13 PM Subject: Bravo Fleet Magistrate Complaint To: <Jack Pike>

Hi Jack,

My name is Sean, and I am the Bravo Fleet Magistrate.

A complaint has been filed against you through my office, on the charge of Mutiny and Sedition, the description of which is "Willful intent to override, overthrow, or disrupt the effective operation of parts of Bravo Fleet, either alone or with other parties. The action leading to this complaint is your removing the USS New Hampshire from the Fleet with no notification to your Task Force Commander, Task Force Senior Staff, or any member of the Bravo Fleet Admiralty.

Additionally, the charge of Disreputable Behavior has been charged, due to your not notifying your own Executive Officer, or presumably any standing member of your crew of the change in organization so that they could air their grievances or consider staying within the Fleet versus leaving.

As part of the Magistrate Code, I am required to enter a plea for you of innocence or guilt. Please respond to this email within 48 hours indicating your plea, or I will move forward with the facts as presented. If no response is received by 7:12 pm Pacific Time on Monday, June 22, I will move forward with my findings.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.



------ Forwarded message ------From: **Charles Star** <star.idf@gmail.com> Date: Tue, Jun 9, 2020 at 6:56 PM Subject: Re: Bravo Fleet Magistrate Complaint To: <magistrate@bravofleet.com> Cc: <Jack Pike> Your honor,

Please allow me to introduce myself. I'm Charles Star, and Mr. Jack Pike (aka "Jason") has retained me as his Lead Counsel for this case. I request that all future communication regarding this matter be sent directly to me. My client has also requested that the designated Bravo Fleet Defender remain on his defense team as co-counsel. If you have contact information for this individual handy, we would greatly appreciate it.

I look forward to working with everyone to resolve this dispute to the satisfaction of all parties. A deadline of June 22nd at 7:12 PM PDT will be sufficient to allow my client to reach a plea. If there is anything I can do to help make these proceedings go more smoothly, please don't hesitate to let me know.

Thank you again, your honor.

Sincerely,

Charles Star Lead Counsel for Mr. Jack Pike (aka "Jason")

----- Forwarded message ------

From: **Bravo Fleet Magistrate** <magistrate@bravofleet.com> Date: Tue, Jun 9, 2020 at 8:17 PM Subject: Re: Bravo Fleet Magistrate Complaint To: Charles Star <star.idf@gmail.com> Cc: <Jack Pike>

Greetings,

Thank you for reaching out on behalf of both yourself and Jack.

As per my original email to your client, a 48 hour deadline to enter a plea was requested. No plea of either innocent or guilty was entered at that time. An additional email was provided to me, but that email had already been provided as part of the original complaint. As the deadline has passed, he has forfeited his right to submit evidence or now submit a plea. Magistrate deadlines not mandated by the Magistrate Code or Bravo Fleet Charter are at the discretion of the Bravo Fleet Magistrate, solely.

As no plea was entered within the original deadline, a Trial by Magistrate was commenced, has been underway since the deadline passed, and is nearly complete.

Further, per the emails exchanged between Jack and the Bravo Fleet Commanding Officer, he admitted to requesting the databases in order to leave the Fleet, freely and concisely. Nonetheless, as you were retained, you are recognized as one of Jack's defenders for the remainder of the Trial by Magistrate. The findings will be made public once a final determination has been made.

Regards,



------ Forwarded message ------From: **Charles Star** <star.idf@gmail.com> Date: Tue, Jun 9, 2020 at 8:46 PM Subject: Re: Bravo Fleet Magistrate Complaint To: Bravo Fleet Magistrate <magistrate@bravofleet.com> Cc: <Jack Pike>

Your honor, thank you for the prompt response.

If you'll notice, your original email did say 48 hours, but it also listed June 22nd as the deadline:

"As part of the Magistrate Code, I am required to enter a plea for you of innocence or guilt. Please respond to this email within 48 hours indicating your plea, or I will move forward with the facts as presented. If no response is received by 7:12 pm Pacific Time on Monday, June 22, I will move forward with my findings."

This led to some confusion for not only my client, but for me as well. In the interest of justice and full discovery, I ask that the more lenient date given to my client for a plea be followed for this case.

Sincerely,

Charles Star

------ Forwarded message ------From: **Bravo Fleet Magistrate** <magistrate@bravofleet.com> Date: Tue, Jun 9, 2020 at 8:59 PM Subject: Re: Bravo Fleet Magistrate Complaint To: Charles Star <star.idf@gmail.com> Cc: <Jack Pike>

In a word, no.

I will 100% own up to the fact that I entered the wrong date in my original email, and I sincerely apologize for the confusion that may have caused. That is absolutely on me.

I am suspect of your participation in this, as well as your creating an account on the BFMS system within **minutes** of me receiving your first email. However, the accused has a right to retain any outside help they request or require. If this was the only reason you joined the org, it was not necessary. But putting that aside, allow me to elucidate the facts of the complaint and the ongoing Trial by Magistrate.

Your client admitted to their actions, and that no one prompted them to leave the organization, it was a decision that they made of their own accord. Additionally, when speaking to a member of the game's senior staff, said person stated they were given no indication of the change of venue other than (and I paraphrase here) "He'd said he was considering it, but not that he was doing it."

There is an admission of guilt in an email to the Commanding Officer of the Fleet. It is frankly laughable that anything else could be pertinent other than that.

Thank you for your time. My findings will be made public shortly.



------ Forwarded message ------From: **Charles Star** <star.idf@gmail.com> Date: Tue, Jun 9, 2020 at 9:08 PM Subject: Re: Bravo Fleet Magistrate Complaint To: Bravo Fleet Magistrate <magistrate@bravofleet.com> Cc: <Jack Pike>

Thank you, your honor, but I must protest. I am unable to get a hold of my client at the immediate moment, but nothing in our communications has given me any indication that he ever intended to plead guilty. Indeed, it is my opinion that he saw the emails as exculpatory. Please give me time to confirm or deny this with my client before we proceed. It is the right thing to do: The right thing for my client, and the right thing for Bravo Fleet.

Separately, thank you for clarifying that piece of BF code. It is very helpful.

Charles Star

------ Forwarded message ------From: **Charles Star** <star.idf@gmail.com> Date: Tue, Jun 9, 2020 at 9:24 PM Subject: Re: Bravo Fleet Magistrate Complaint To: Bravo Fleet Magistrate <magistrate@bravofleet.com> Cc: <Jack Pike>

Your honor, I have confirmed with my client: It was *not* and has never been his intention to plead guilty.

I humbly ask in accordance with the Bravo Fleet Magistrate code and the original Magistrate Complaint email that the current Magistrate trial be halted and that my client be allowed to enter a proper plea by the June 22nd deadline.

Charles Star

------ Forwarded message ------From: **Charles Star** <star.idf@gmail.com> Date: Tue, Jun 9, 2020 at 11:21 PM Subject: Re: Bravo Fleet Magistrate Complaint To: Bravo Fleet Magistrate <magistrate@bravofleet.com> Cc: <Jack Pike>

Your honor, I see that a decision was published about an hour or so after my last email.

https://bravofleet.com/group_news/magistrate-decision-bfm-01/

It is our intent to appeal this ruling.

Charles

------ Forwarded message ------From: **Charles Star** <star.idf@gmail.com> Date: Wed, Jun 10, 2020 at 10:03 AM Subject: Re: Bravo Fleet Magistrate Complaint To: Bravo Fleet Magistrate <magistrate@bravofleet.com> Cc: <Jack Pike>

Your honor,

To prepare our appeal, we request the following documentation:

- All evidence considered or entered into the record

- All official proceedings and happenings

- All communication between or among the Investigator, the Defender, and/or your honor.

We will make judicious use of these materials to write the appeal as soon as possible.

Sincerely,

Charles Star

------ Forwarded message ------From: **Bravo Fleet Magistrate** <magistrate@bravofleet.com> Date: Wed, Jun 10, 2020 at 7:59 PM Subject: Re: Bravo Fleet Magistrate Complaint To: Charles Star <star.idf@gmail.com> Cc: <Jack Pike>

Charles and Jack,

- 1. Please advise where specifically in the Magistrate Code of Bravo Fleet that providing evidence post-trial is required.
- 2. Per the Magistrate Code: "If the defendant or prosecution feels that an error has been made, they can write an appeal and present it to the Magistrate, who will in turn bring it before the appeals panel. Appeals cannot be trivial in nature, and must specifically point to the error(s) made. The appellant must find either an issue arising from Bravo Fleet documents or judicial process error." This means that the facts and evidence of the case are not available for appeal.
 - a. Please advise specifically which errors have arisen from Bravo Fleet Documents or judicial process error.

Once you have satisfied these requirements, I will pass the request to the appeals panel.



------ Forwarded message ------From: **Charles Star** <star.idf@gmail.com> Date: Wed, Jun 10, 2020 at 9:02 PM Subject: Re: Bravo Fleet Magistrate Complaint To: Bravo Fleet Magistrate <magistrate@bravofleet.com> Cc: <Jack Pike> Your honor, thank you for the response.

To answer your question: First, I would say that it's near impossible to "find either an issue arising from Bravo Fleet documents or judicial process error" without access to the evidence and a full transcript of the trial. Without providing that information, you would effectively be limiting, and potentially even eliminating, my client's right to an appeal. Second, according to the Bravo Fleet Charter, Article 2, Section 1, Paragraph 4: "Every member has the right to a fair and impartial system of justice. All indictments, trials, and subsequent punishments will make no distinction between members based on tenure or position within Bravo Fleet." The prosecution has access to the requested information, but we do not. That's not fair.

On a related note, Acker Kather has informed me that she is "recusing" herself from the case and will not be cooperating with the defense moving forward. Further, she also indicated that she will not be giving us any documentation or information regarding the defense she provided--she directed me to you to receive that information. To the earlier point, again, it's difficult to evaluate the process when one's own attorney withholds information about that process.

Your honor, in addition to requesting full trial information to help us formulate an appeal, I also request that you appoint someone as a replacement Magistrate Defender for Kather to serve as co-counsel on our defense team. The justification is as follows:

Bravo Fleet Charter, Article 4, Section 2, Paragraph 3: "All members shall be offered the right to assistance from members of the fleet in assisting to defend them. The choice by default is the Magistrate Defender, though the defendant possesses the right to seek aid from any parties willing to assist in their defense."

The paragraph makes it clear that multiple parties ("any parties") may serve on the defense team. While the default choice is the Magistrate Defender, no where does it indicate that the accused loses their Magistrate Defender by adding additional parties. It also does not reference or direct in any way how the accused may structure their defense team.

Thank you again for your consideration, your honor.

Charles Star

------ Forwarded message ------From: **Sean Stephens** <magistrate@bravofleet.com> Date: Thu, Jun 11, 2020 at 3:25 PM Subject: Re: Bravo Fleet Magistrate Complaint To: Charles Star <star.idf@gmail.com> Cc: <Jack Pike> Please find my answers inline below in bold.

On 2020-06-10 21:02, Charles Star wrote:

Your honor, thank you for the response.

To answer your question: First, I would say that it's near impossible to "find either an issue arising from Bravo Fleet documents or judicial process error" without access to the evidence and a full transcript of the trial. Without providing that information, you would effectively be limiting, and potentially even eliminating, my client's right to an appeal. Second, according to the Bravo Fleet Charter, Article 2, Section 1, Paragraph 4: "Every member has the right to a fair and impartial system of justice. All indictments, trials, and subsequent punishments will make no distinction between members based on tenure or position within Bravo Fleet." The prosecution has access to the requested information, but we do not. That's not fair.

-- I have edited the trial document to have all evidence used changed to public. You can find the evidence used there.

On a related note, Acker Kather has informed me that she is "recusing" herself from the case and will not be cooperating with the defense moving forward. Further, she also indicated that she will not be giving us any documentation or information regarding the defense she provided--she directed me to you to receive that information. To the earlier point, again, it's difficult to evaluate the process when one's own attorney withholds information about that process.

-- The Defender is under no obligation to continue interacting with a defendant and outside counsel in the face of harassment, and your continued haranguing of her last night for information, when you know you should have directed all inquiries to me skates that line.

Your honor, in addition to requesting full trial information to help us formulate an appeal, I also request that you appoint someone as a replacement Magistrate Defender for Kather to serve as co-counsel on our defense team. The justification is as follows:

-- No.

Bravo Fleet Charter, Article 4, Section 2, Paragraph 3: "All members shall be offered the right to assistance from members of the fleet in assisting to defend them. The choice by default is the Magistrate Defender, though the defendant possesses the right to seek aid from any parties willing to assist in their defense."

The paragraph makes it clear that multiple parties ("any parties") may serve on the defense team. While the default choice is the Magistrate Defender, no where does it indicate that the accused loses their Magistrate Defender by adding additional parties. It also does not reference or direct in any way how the accused may structure their defense team.

-- The Magistrate Code indicates that it defaults to the BF Defender in the absence of other defense. The last Defender recused herself due to your continued messaging of her when I was the party to which your questions should have been directed. I am unwilling to assign someone else and put them in a place to be harassed.

-- Additionally, I will warn you that the only reason you aren't being investigated for harassment is because the Defender didn't make a formal complaint, and just asked to be recused. Any further communication regarding this case is to be directed to the Magistrate, and only the Magistrate. You will receive a response when I am next able to respond.

-- The trial has been concluded, and the evidence is now publicly available. You have 24 hours from present (let's call it 1pm Pacific Time on 6/12/2020) to submit your appeal, which I will forward to the appeals panel. I would strongly advise you to read over the rules for appeals to ensure that your appeal is succinct and in line with those rules.

Thank you again for your consideration, your honor.

----- Forwarded message -----From: **Charles Star** <star.idf@gmail.com> Date: Thu, Jun 11, 2020 at 3:57 PM Subject: Re: Bravo Fleet Magistrate Complaint To: Sean Stephens <magistrate@bravofleet.com> Cc: <Jack Pike>

Thank you, your honor.

I still must protest that not having a full trial transcript, a lack of cooperation from the prior counsel, and the unreasonable timeframe provided significantly impacts our ability to craft a viable appeal.

Nevertheless, we acknowledge the deadline your honor has set and plan to submit our appeal before that deadline passes.

Charles Star

------ Forwarded message ------From: **Charles Star** <star.idf@gmail.com> Date: Fri, Jun 12, 2020 at 12:48 PM Subject: Appeal of verdicts in Bravo Fleet v. Jack Pike (BFM-001) To: Bravo Fleet Magistrate <magistrate@bravofleet.com> Cc: <Jack Pike>

Your honor,

On behalf of my client, I respectfully submit the attached appeal of the verdicts in Bravo Fleet v. Jack Pike (BFM-001) to the Bravo Fleet Appeals Board.

Sincerely,

Charles Star Lead Counsel for Mr. Jack Pike (aka "Jason")

Attachment: BFM001 Appeal.pdf Attachment: Email conversation between Charles and Bravo Fleet Magistrate.pdf

------ Forwarded message ------From: **Elizabeth Wolf** <xo@bravofleet.com> Date: Fri, Jun 12, 2020 at 8:12 PM Subject: Response to Jack Pike's Appeal on Case BFM-001 To: <Jack Pike>, <Jack Pike> Cc: <magistrate@bravofleet.com>, Charles Star <star.idf@gmail.com>

Mr. Pike,

Thank you for submitting your appeal for <u>Bravo Fleet Magistrate Case #001</u>. The Bravo Fleet Appeals Panel has carefully examined the points of contention, ultimately reaching the following conclusions:

On the issue of "**Process Error: The Bravo Fleet Magistrate did not follow his own plea deadline, thus not affording Mr. Pike with a proper opportunity to enter a plea,"** the panel found that the Defense had the opportunity to enter a plea. The Defendant was informed of the charges on June 6, 2020, at 9:13 PM. The Defendant sent two replies to the Magistrate's email (on June 7, 2020 at 1:31 PM and again at 10:40 PM), within the 48-hour deadline, acknowledging the charges without entering a plea. The Defendant did not seek clarification on the deadline in either response.

On the issue of "Process Error: The Bravo Fleet Magistrate did not provide the defense with all relevant case information, thus not affording Mr. Pike with a proper opportunity to appeal," the panel found that the Defense was provided enough information to mount a proper appeal. As per the Magistrate Code, the Defense does not have a right to the trial's transcript or the evidence used in the case. Despite this fact, the Magistrate publicly released all relevant information, including all evidence used against the Defendant (Exhibits A and B).

On the issue of **alleged bias from the Bravo Fleet Magistrate**, the panel found that the Magistrate acted in accordance with the Bravo Fleet Magistrate Code. The Defense used quotes from the Magistrate, taken out of context, to argue this issue. The panel found that the Magistrate's Opinion was formed after a thorough reading of the facts presented by both the Defender and the Investigator.

The panel has rejected your appeal for case BFM-001.

Disclaimer: I am not a member of the Bravo Fleet Appeals Panel, nor am I a representative of Bravo Fleet's judicial authority. As your counsel has expressed concern with the current Magistrate, I have been selected to inform you of the panel's decision.

Sincerely,

Admiral Elizabeth Wolf

Bravo Fleet Executive Officer xo@bravofleet.com Discord @Emily#6153

Bravo Fleet – An Online Star Trek Community

Home – https://bravofleet.com Facebook – <u>https://facebook.bravofleet.com</u> Twitter – <u>https://twitter.bravofleet.com</u> Discord – <u>https://discord.bravofleet.com</u>

------ Forwarded message ------From: **Charles Star** <star.idf@gmail.com> Date: Sat, Jun 13, 2020 at 11:02 AM Subject: Re: Response to Jack Pike's Appeal on Case BFM-001 To: Elizabeth Wolf <xo@bravofleet.com> Cc: <Jack Pike>, <Jack Pike>, Bravo Fleet Magistrate <magistrate@bravofleet.com>

Your honor, we are undoubtedly disappointed in these decisions, and hereby file an appeal on an unrelated point.

Sincerely,

Attachment: BFM001 Appeal 2.pdf

------ Forwarded message ------From: **Elizabeth Wolf** <xo@bravofleet.com> Date: Sat, Jun 13, 2020 at 1:24 PM Subject: Re: Response to Jack Pike's Appeal on Case BFM-001 To: <Jack Pike>, <Jack Pike> Cc: Charles Star <star.idf@gmail.com>, Bravo Fleet Magistrate <magistrate@bravofleet.com> Mr. Pike,

After conferring with the Bravo Fleet Magistrate, we will not be elevating your appeal to the Appeals Panel because it is factually incorrect. It unfortunately also demonstrates that the incompetence your counsel has shown throughout this process for Bravo Fleet's rules, regulations, and policies also extends to the most basic principles of our organization.

Your contention is based on the alleged fact that you were not, and currently are not, a member of Bravo Fleet. This is incorrect because you possess a Bravo Fleet Management System account, which you had when the charges were filed and continued to possess following the conclusion of the trial. You do not need to be on a simulation to be a member of Bravo Fleet. As such, you are a member of Bravo Fleet's Task Force 93, both protected by our rules and under the jurisdiction of the Bravo Fleet Magistrate.

The Loremaster did not, nor do they have the power to, remove a member from Bravo Fleet. As the USS New Hampshire was listed with your name on another organization's website, the Loremaster removed you from your Bravo Fleet command and stripped your access to the resources that come with the privilege of being a Game Manager. This action did not remove you from Bravo Fleet, and it was well within their power as the Admiralty member in charge of the Holodeck.

Disclaimer: I am not a member of Bravo Fleet's judicial authority. As your counsel has expressed concern with the current Magistrate, I have been selected to inform you about this appeal.

Sincerely,

Admiral Elizabeth Wolf Bravo Fleet Executive Officer xo@bravofleet.com Discord @Emily#6153

Bravo Fleet – An Online Star Trek Community

Home – https://bravofleet.com Facebook – https://facebook.bravofleet.com Twitter – https://twitter.bravofleet.com Discord – https://discord.bravofleet.com

------From: **Charles Star** <star.idf@gmail.com> Date: Sat, Jun 13, 2020 at 2:30 PM Subject: Re: Response to Jack Pike's Appeal on Case BFM-001 To: Elizabeth Wolf <xo@bravofleet.com> Cc: <Jack Pike>, <Jack Pike>, Bravo Fleet Magistrate <magistrate@bravofleet.com>

Your honor,

It is our position that refusing to forward my client's latest appeal to the Appeals Board is in violation of Magistrate Code Section 3, Paragraph 2:

"If the defendant or prosecution feels that an error has been made, they can write an appeal and present it to the Magistrate, who will in turn bring it before the appeals panel. Appeals cannot be trivial in nature, and must specifically point to the error(s) made. The appellant must find either an issue arising from Bravo Fleet documents or judicial process error."

The Magistrate Code explicitly states that the Magistrate "will" bring the appeal to the Appeals Panel. It provides no mechanism for the Magistrate to decline to forward any appeal. As such, it is up to the Appeals Board to weigh the validity of any appeal, not the Magistrate. In light of this, we request that your honor reconsider her position and forward the appeal to the Appeals Board as required.

Separately, given your honor's disparaging remark below about my client's counsel, we humbly request that your honor appoint another special Magistrate to oversee the remainder of these proceedings and that your honor recuse herself.

"It unfortunately also demonstrates that the incompetence your counsel has shown throughout this process for Bravo Fleet's rules, regulations, and policies also extends to the most basic principles of our organization."

Thank you again for your understanding and consideration.

Sincerely,

Charles Star Lead Counsel for Jack Pike (aka Jason)

------ Forwarded message ------From: **Charles Star** <star.idf@gmail.com> Date: Sat, Jun 13, 2020 at 4:16 PM Subject: Re: Response to Jack Pike's Appeal on Case BFM-001 To: Elizabeth Wolf <xo@bravofleet.com> Cc: <Jack Pike>, <Jack Pike>, Bravo Fleet Magistrate <magistrate@bravofleet.com>

Your honor, my client would also like to file the attached appeal with the Appeals Panel.

Sincerely,

Charles Star

Attachment: BFM001 Appeal 3.pdf

------ Forwarded message ------From: **Elizabeth Wolf** <xo@bravofleet.com> Date: Sat, Jun 13, 2020 at 4:17 PM Subject: Re: Response to Jack Pike's Appeal on Case BFM-001 To: <Jack Pike>, <Jack Pike> Cc: Bravo Fleet Magistrate <magistrate@bravofleet.com>, Charles Star <star.idf@gmail.com>

Mr. Pike,

Section 3, Paragraph 2 of the Magistrate Code states that "Appeals cannot be trivial in nature, and must specifically point to the error(s) made. The appellant must find either an issue arising from Bravo Fleet documents or judicial process error." Your latest appeal is trivial in nature as it does not pertain to the facts or judicial process of the case, as such the Magistrate was well within his rights to refuse your appeal.

For further clarification, please familiarize yourself with Article III, Section 4 of the Bravo Fleet Charter: "Members of Bravo Fleet are either members of the Reserves or members of a Task Force." You are a member of Bravo Fleet's Task Force 93, and you are still listed as such under your Bravo Fleet account. You have not requested a dossier deletion, and if you did so now the case would still stand as you were a member when the charges were filed. If you request a dossier deletion, which is well within your right, you will lose all rights afforded to you under our judicial process, including the right to appeal the Magistrate's decision.

As I have stated in my previous emails, I am not affiliated with Bravo Fleet's judicial authority. I am not a special Magistrate, nor do I have the power to appoint a special Magistrate to this case. I was selected to communicate on behalf of the Magistrate as your counsel has expressed concern with communication from the Magistrate's Office. I will also add that I am the last person in the Chain of Command capable of serving in this capacity. If still you wish for my recusal, the case will be deferred back to the Bravo Fleet Magistrate's Office.

Sincerely,

Admiral Elizabeth Wolf Bravo Fleet Executive Officer xo@bravofleet.com Discord @Emily#6153

Bravo Fleet – An Online Star Trek Community

Home – https://bravofleet.com Facebook – https://facebook.bravofleet.com Twitter – https://twitter.bravofleet.com Discord – https://discord.bravofleet.com

-------Forwarded message ------From: **Charles Star** <star.idf@gmail.com> Date: Sat, Jun 13, 2020 at 4:56 PM Subject: Re: Response to Jack Pike's Appeal on Case BFM-001 To: Elizabeth Wolf <xo@bravofleet.com> Cc: <Jack Pike>, <Jack Pike>, Bravo Fleet Magistrate <magistrate@bravofleet.com>

Thank you, your honor.

I think I may be miscommunicating our contention on this subject.

Yes, we understand and agree that someone becomes a member when they create a Bravo Fleet Management system account. That point is not in dispute. We also fully understand your position that Mr. Pike was still a member after The Loremaster's actions. We disagree. However, we do agree that the process you broadly outlined is a path for a member to leave Bravo Fleet. We are also of the opinion that regardless of the The Loremaster's authority, she did take the action to fire Mr. Pike and his game from Bravo Fleet: That was the clear and explicit message that Mr. Pike received from The Loremaster, which was never corrected or overridden by anyone else in Bravo Fleet before proceedings began. We understand your position here, but reasonable minds can disagree. As such, we do not think this is a trivial matter.

To the second point, I think I also miscommunicated that: Even if this were a trivial matter (an opinion, to which we strongly disagree), according to the Magistrate Code, that is for the Appeals Panel to decide, not the Magistrate. The code explicitly states that the Magistrate "will" bring appeals to the Appeals Panel, with no exception given. The code then describes the avenues appeals should pursue for potential success.

I hope that clarifies our position on this issue. If not, I can certainly try again. If this does convince you to forward our appeal to the Appeal Panel, we request that you also include the second paragraph from this email ("Yes, we understand...") to prevent any possible miscommunication on that point with the Panel.

Sincerely,

Charles Star

------ Forwarded message ------From: **Elizabeth Wolf** <xo@bravofleet.com> Date: Sat, Jun 13, 2020 at 5:05 PM Subject: Re: Response to Jack Pike's Appeal on Case BFM-001 To: <Jack Pike>, <Jack Pike> Cc: Charles Star <star.idf@gmail.com>, Bravo Fleet Magistrate <magistrate@bravofleet.com>, <co@bravofleet.com>

Mr. Pike,

I will refer you to my previous email: Section 3, Paragraph 2 of the Magistrate Code states that "Appeals cannot be trivial in nature, and must specifically point to the error(s) made. The appellant must find either an issue arising from Bravo Fleet documents or judicial process error." As your last two appeals are trivial in nature and have not been affected by or included in the judicial process of this case, we are no longer willing to entertain appeals on this case.

Both the Magistrate's decision and the findings of the Appeals Panel stands as is, and your sentence will not be overturned.

Thank you for your time,

Admiral Elizabeth Wolf

Bravo Fleet Executive Officer xo@bravofleet.com Discord @Emily#6153

Bravo Fleet – An Online Star Trek Community

Home – https://bravofleet.com Facebook – https://facebook.bravofleet.com Twitter – https://twitter.bravofleet.com Discord – https://discord.bravofleet.com

------ Forwarded message ------From: **Charles Star** <star.idf@gmail.com> Date: Sat, Jun 13, 2020 at 7:50 PM Subject: Re: Response to Jack Pike's Appeal on Case BFM-001 To: Elizabeth Wolf <xo@bravofleet.com> Cc: <Jack Pike>, <Jack Pike>, Bravo Fleet Magistrate <magistrate@bravofleet.com>, <co@bravofleet.com> We again object to your honor not addressing the points we made in the last email and the removal of the sentence immediately preceding the text your honor quoted. We do appreciate that at least one of our three appeals made it to the Appeals Board, but naturally we are disappointed with its result. Overall, we still object to what we consider to be a gross miscarriage of justice. It is our opinion that almost any disinterested individual would agree.

Nonetheless, we do acknowledge that Bravo Fleet is free to exercise within its walls whatever form of justice it sees fit. We also acknowledge that Bravo Fleet will no longer be accepting appeals in this case less than one week after my client was initially notified of the charges.

We do request that our appeals, their rejections, and all correspondence that we have been a part of regarding this matter be added to the public and official record of <u>BRAVO FLEET V. JACK</u> <u>PIKE (BFM-001)</u>. If desired, I can assist with this effort.

Please do not contact my client directly for any matter related to this case or Bravo Fleet. Instead direct all of those communications to me.

We wish you well.

Sincerely,

Charles Star Lead Counsel for Jack Pike (aka Jason)

------ Forwarded message ------From: **Teylas Ramar** <teylasramar@gmail.com> Date: Sat, Jun 13, 2020 at 7:55 PM Subject: Re: Response to Jack Pike's Appeal on Case BFM-001 To: <Jack Pike> Cc: Elizabeth Wolf <xo@bravofleet.com>, Charles Star <star.idf@gmail.com>, <Jack Pike>, Bravo Fleet Magistrate <magistrate@bravofleet.com>, <co@bravofleet.com>

Mr. Pike,

We confirm receipt of your requests.

Signed,



------ Forwarded message ------From: **Charles Star** <star.idf@gmail.com> Date: Sat, Jun 13, 2020 at 7:56 PM Subject: Re: Response to Jack Pike's Appeal on Case BFM-001 To: Teylas Ramar <teylasramar@gmail.com> Cc: <Jack Pike>, Elizabeth Wolf <xo@bravofleet.com>, <Jack Pike>, Bravo Fleet Magistrate <magistrate@bravofleet.com>, <co@bravofleet.com>

Thank you.

Charles Star

------ Forwarded message ------From: **Charles Star** <star.idf@gmail.com> Date: Sat, Jun 13, 2020 at 8:11 PM Subject: Bravo Fleet Magistate webpages To: Bravo Fleet Magistrate <magistrate@bravofleet.com>

Hi Sean,

It looks like there might be a potential error on the Bravo Fleet Magistrate webpages. When I view them, it's only showing me as the defense counsel and no longer showing dizzyg1970, who handled the case through the verdicts.

Thanks,

Charles

------ Forwarded message ------From: **Bravo Fleet Magistrate** <magistrate@bravofleet.com> Date: Sat, Jun 13, 2020 at 11:09 PM Subject: Re: Bravo Fleet Magistate webpages To: Charles Star <star.idf@gmail.com> I'm sure you can write this version of the Bremer Report without it.

-Sean

------ Forwarded message ------From: **Charles Star** <star.idf@gmail.com> Date: Sun, Jun 14, 2020 at 8:57 AM Subject: Re: Bravo Fleet Magistate webpages To: Bravo Fleet Magistrate <magistrate@bravofleet.com>

Sean,

Hey, not a bad idea!

But seriously, I played no part in the original conviction and the original defender did not "recuse" herself until after the conviction. You do not have my permission to use my name in connection to the trial pre-conviction because I was not permitted to participate in it. However, feel free (for now) to use my name in connection to the appeals and everything else post-conviction.

If the original defender also doesn't want her name on it, it's probably more accurate just to leave the space blank.

Charles